```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2
                       BROWNSVILLE DIVISION
3
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
4
5
   VS.
                                  ) CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
                                  B-18-CR-8
6
   RODNEY MESQUIAS, HENRY
7
   MCINNIS AND FRANCISCO PENA
8
9
                         TRIAL - DAY NINE
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROLANDO OLVERA
10
                         NOVEMBER 1, 2019
11
12
13
                      APPEARANCES
14
    FOR THE UNITED STATES:
15
        MR. KEVIN LOWELL
16
        MR. ANDREW SWARTZ
        MR. JACOB FOSTER
17
        ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
        BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS 78520
18
19
    FOR THE DEFENDANT RODNEY MESQUIAS:
20
        MR. CHARLES BANKER
        ATTORNEY AT LAW
21
        118 Pecan Boulevard
        McAllen, Texas 78501
22
        MR. HECTOR CANALES
23
        MR. TONY CANALES
        ATTORNEYS AT LAW
24
        2601 Morgan Avenue
        Corpus Christi, Texas 78405
25
```

```
FOR THE DEFENDANT HENRY MCINNIS:
1
 2
         MR. ED CYGANIEWICZ
         ATTORNEY AT LAW
 3
         1000 E. Madison Street
         Brownsville, Texas 78520
 4
    FOR THE DEFENDANT FRANCISCO PENA:
 5
         MR. ROBERT GUERRA
 6
         ATTORNEY AT LAW
         55 Cove Circle
7
         Brownsville, Texas 78521
8
    FOR THE DEFENDANT FRANCISCO PENA:
9
        MS. ADRIANA ARCE-FLORES
         ATTORNEY AT LAW
         1414 Victoria Street
10
         Laredo, Texas 780404
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be
1
2
    seated.
3
                Ladies and gentlemen, welcome back.
                I understand that one of you had a slight
 4
5
    emergency that caused some tardiness, but thank you for
6
    being here.
7
                Let's proceed.
                MR. LOWELL: Good morning, Your Honor.
8
9
                The United States calls Michael Petron.
                THE COURT: Please remain standing, sir.
10
11
    We'll swear you in.
12
                THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
                (WITNESS SWORN IN.)
13
14
                THE WITNESS: I do.
15
                THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
16
                Please make yourself comfortable, position
17
    the microphone closely to you and speak loudly and
18
    clearly into the microphone.
19
                THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20
                THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
21
                MR. LOWELL: May I proceed?
22
                THE COURT: Please.
23
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
    BY MR. LOWELL:
25
       Q. Good morning. Please introduce yourself to the
```

```
1
    jury.
2
           Good morning. My name is Michael Petron, spelled
    P-e-t-r-o-n.
3
          Mr. Petron, what is your current occupation?
 4
       Ο.
           I'm a managing director at a consulting firm
5
    named Stout.
6
7
           Could you walk through your education.
       Q.
                  I have an undergraduate degree with a
8
       Α.
           Sure.
9
    double major in economics and statistics, I have one
    Master's Degree in Statistics and one Master's Degree in
10
11
    Accounting.
12
           How about your professional qualifications?
       O.
           I am a certified public accountant, licensed in
13
       Α.
14
    the Commonwealth of Virginia and I'm also a certified
    fraud examiner.
15
16
       Q. How about speaking engagements?
17
           I've had numerous speaking engagements over the
18
    years. At least, I'd say, 20 to 30 speaking engagements
    across the country, generally speaking to law
19
20
    enforcement and to the United States attorneys offices.
21
           You work for a company called Stout; is that
       Ο.
```

And how many people do you manage at Stout?

22

23

24

25

right?

Α.

Q.

I do.

A. About 115 people.

```
Have you assisted the Department of Justice in
1
       Ο.
2
    the investigation of this case?
3
       Α.
           I have.
           When did you start?
       Ο.
 4
5
       Α.
           Would have been sometime in the fall, say of
6
    October 2017.
7
           And have you worked on other health care fraud
       0.
    investigations?
8
9
       Α.
           I have.
           Approximately, how many?
10
       Ο.
           100, 150. I'm not sure.
11
       Α.
12
       Q.
           Now, have you been retained by the Government
    before to testify as a witness?
13
14
       Α.
           T have.
15
           And have you been retained by the private sector
       Ο.
    as a witness?
16
17
           I have.
       Α.
18
           How many times have you testified in federal
       Ο.
    court in criminal cases?
19
           Give or take, 20.
20
       Α.
21
           Focusing on this case, when were you retained?
       Ο.
           In the fall, so October 2017.
22
       Α.
23
       Q.
           And what were you retained to do?
24
       Α.
           A few different things. I was retained to
```

examine financial records, so probable -- primarily bank

```
accounts and credit card statements, and then the second
1
2
    major area was health care claims data.
3
           Were you hired to make a conclusion in this case?
       Ο.
       Α.
           No.
 4
           Were you hired to give an opinion about the
5
       Ο.
6
    Defendant's quilt or innocence?
7
       Α.
           No.
           What are you summarizing in general?
8
       Ο.
9
           I'm summarizing voluminous data. So voluminous
       Α.
    bank accounts, 12 credit cards, hundreds of thousands of
10
11
    records contained within the Medicare claims data.
12
       Q. Now, have you analyzed every single bank account
    that Merida Group?
13
14
           I have not.
       Α.
15
           Every single account of the Defendants?
       Ο.
16
           I have not.
       Α.
17
           Approximately, how many bank accounts did you
       Ο.
18
    analyze?
           35.
19
       Α.
20
       Q.
           For multiple banks?
21
           Multiple banks.
       Α.
22
           Now, you talked about the banking information,
       Q.
23
    how about the claims data, did you also analyze claims
24
    data?
```

A ton.

Α.

- 1 Q. For the Merida Group?
- 2 A. Correct.
 - Q. For Francisco Pena?
- 4 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

- Q. Now, when you went through the Medicare data, what did you do?
- A. Well, first and foremost I upload the Medicare data into data basis on my platform, and then, from there, I will summarize that information depending upon whatever characteristics are asked of me.
- 11 Q. How voluminous was -- were the claims data and labeled banking in this case?
- A. Extensive. I believe the claims data was over 800,000 records and we had 3500 4,000 pages of bank records.
 - Q. Did you prepare exhibits in this case?
- 17 A. I did.
 - Q. What kind of exhibits?
- 19 A. They're referred to as summary exhibits.
- Q. And are those summary exhibits based on your analysis of the claims data?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. Your analysis of the banking records?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Could we call up Government's Exhibit L-1

together with Government's H-16, please.

Mr. Petron, what does this depict?

A. So, Government Exhibit L-1 is a map of the State of Texas showing the location of the Merida Group entities. That's on the left.

On the right is a map that I created commonly referred to as a heat map that shows the dispersion of Merida Group beneficiaries throughout the State based upon the Medicare billing data.

- Q. When you say Medicare beneficiaries, is that -- what does that mean in plain English?
- A. A patient.

- Q. A patient of the Merida Group?
- A. Correct, a Medicare patient of the Merida Group.
- Q. Now, when you created this heat map, what, if anything, did you notice about the aspersion of patients?
 - A. Well, you can observe here that there are patients located throughout the State. I mean, the dispersion is obviously centralized down here in the Valley and in the major metropolitan areas, but it's not limited to those areas.

You can see the blue dots are, you know, spread pretty consistently throughout all of the population centers.

1 Ο. Is there a concentration of patients right here 2 in the Valley? That's correct. 3 Α. If we could bring up L-2, please, together with Ο. 4 H-15.5 Mr. Petron, what do we see here? 6 7 So what we see here on the left is a group -- is Α. 8 a showing by county of where the Merida Group entities 9 were located and the medical directors at particular entities. 10 11 And on the right, this heat map is for population centers in more of more than 500 beneficiaries. So you 12 can see in red here the -- the bright dots are the major 13 14 metropolitan areas, and then you can see some of the 15 yellowish, you know, green dots in Laredo and some other places, but not down here in the Valley, Houston, 16 San Antonio. 17 O. Now, the doctors in the Medicare -- the medical 18 19 director chart, were you able to draw certain 20 connections, links, between them and Merida Group 21 patients? 22 A. Correct. So, for example, Dr. Pena in Laredo, if 23 you look at the Medicare billing data, you can see that 24 Dr. Pena is often an attending physician for those

25

patients.

- Q. Those are patients of the Merida Group?
- 2 A. Correct.

4

- 0. In Laredo?
- A. Correct.
- Q. How about Dr. Virlar and patients in San Antonio?
- A. The same holds true there where Dr. Virlar is the attending physician for a number of Medicare
- 8 beneficiaries in the San Antonio area.
- 9 Q. How about Dr. Carrillo and Merida Group patients
 10 in the Valley?
- 11 A. Mr. Carrillo is present in the Merida Group for 12 those patients in the Valley.
- Q. Can you pull up H-15 together with H-8.
- Now, Mr. Petron, focusing on your analysis of the claims data, how much money -- could you tell the jury how much money the Merida Group billed?
- A. The Merida Group billed a little over 18 \$152,000,000.
- 19 Q. 152,000,000?
- 20 A. \$152,000,000.
- Q. Across the State of Texas?
- 22 A. This is even broader than the State of Texas,
- 23 my -- my map I restricted to the State of Texas, but I
- 24 think there are about 300 beneficiaries or patients
- 25 outside of the State of Texas.

- Q. So it doesn't include everybody?
- A. This map does not include everyone.
- Q. Now, based on your analysis of the claims data,
- 4 how much was paid to the Merida Group on those
- 5 | 150,000,000 -- approximately, \$150,000,000 in bills?
- 6 A. It's about \$124,000,000, if memory serves.
 - Q. And where was that money deposited?
 - A. Into various Merida Group bank accounts.
 - Q. And who controlled the Merida Group's corporate bank accounts?
- 11 A. By and large, Mr. Mesquias.
- Q. And based on your analysis of the Medicare
 documents, during what timeframe did he control those
 accounts?
- A. I had access to the banking records from,
 approximately, 2011 through 2018, and he was the
 signatory on those accounts for those time periods.
 - Q. And prior to that, did you also have access to Medicare -- certain Medicare records?
 - A. I had access to Medicare records going back to 2009, but no banking records before 2011.
- Q. Okay. And do those records pre-2011, do they include EFT agreements?
- 24 A. Correct.

2

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

25 Q. And what are EFT agreements?

- A. They're agreements between a provider and the Medicare program about the electronic data interchange of information.
- Q. And based on your review of those records, between 2009 and 2011, who controlled the corporate bank accounts at the Merida Group?
- A. Mr. Mesquias.
 - Q. That was Medicare money going into those accounts?
- 10 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 11 Q. What are proceeds, Mr. Petron?
- A. Proceeds, as I've defined them in this matter,
 are any monies paid from Medicare into the Merida Group.
 - Q. Were you able to track proceeds from Medicare into Merida Group bank accounts?
 - A. I was.
- Q. Could we go to Government's Exhibit L-3, please.

Now, Mr. Petron, in your analysis of those bank records, did you identify a financial relationship, a link between Rodney Mesquias and Francisco Pena?

- A. I did.
- Q. What did you see?
- A. I saw money being transferred from the Merida Group entities to Mr. Pena.
- 25 Q. Approximately, how much money did you identify

```
from Rodney Mesquias to Francisco Pena?
1
2
           Testing my memory. I believe it's $108,000,
    approximately.
3
           Could we do a side by side, L-3 together with
4
       Ο.
    H-18.
5
6
           This would be one example of a check written from
       Α.
7
    Professional Hospice to Dr. Pena in the amount of
    $2,000.
8
9
           Mr. Petron, who signed this check?
       Q.
           That appears to be Mr. Mesquias' signature.
10
       Α.
11
           Let's pull up H-17 on the right.
       Q.
12
           Is this another check to Mr. Pena?
           Correct. This is an another example of a check
13
       Α.
14
    from Professional Hospice signed by Mr. Mesquias for
    services in February of 2014.
15
16
           Going to H-19, what is this?
       Q.
17
           This is a third example for $5,000 to Dr. Pena
       Α.
18
    signed by Mr. Mesquias, and the memo reads:
                                                   MD
    directorship August and September services.
19
           Now, in addition to that relationship between the
20
       Q.
21
    Merida Group and Francisco Pena, did you also identify a
22
    financial link between the Merida Group and Henry
23
    McInnis?
24
       A. I did.
```

Q. Let's pull up H-65. Put H-65 on the right and

```
then also pull up that together with L-3, please.
1
2
           Mr. Petron, approximately, how much money did the
    Merida Group pay Henry McInnis?
3
           Approximately, $513,000. You can see that sort
       Α.
4
    of on the bottom right.
5
       O.
           And could we add to the right Government's
6
7
    Exhibit H-66.
           In addition to Dr. Pena, Henry McInnis, did you
8
9
    also identify a financial relationship between the
    Merida Group and Dr. Eduardo Carrillo?
10
11
       Α.
           I did.
12
           How much money was paid to Dr. Carrillo?
       Q.
           I believe it's in the two hundred thousand dollar
13
       Α.
14
    range, $224,000, I believe.
15
           Now, did you also identify money in a financial
       Ο.
    relationship between the Merida Group and Joe Garza?
16
17
       A.
           I did.
           Approximately, how much money did the Merida
18
       Q.
19
    Group pay Joe Garza?
20
       Α.
          $258,000, approximately.
21
          Could we pull up H-11 together with L-2.
       Ο.
22
           Mr. Petron, did you establish a connection
23
    between patients living in Laredo and the Merida Group?
24
       Α.
           I did.
```

Q. Would you tell the jury about that.

```
Sure. So on the chart on the left-hand side,
1
       Α.
2
    this is a summary of all patients where Dr. Pena was the
    attending physician at any of the Merida Group entities.
3
    So you can see that there were billings under four
4
5
    entities, two of them, obviously, much larger than the
    others, Professional Hospice Care and Virtue Home
6
7
    Health.
           In total, Dr. Pena was attending 423 patients
8
9
    with 986 claims. There was a total billed amount on
    those claims of $4.5 million with a paid amount of just
10
11
    over $3.1 million.
12
       Q. And to be clear, those were patients of the
    Merida Group?
13
14
           Correct, these are all patients of Merida Group
15
    entities.
16
       Q. And who was the attending doctor for those
    patients?
17
18
       A. Dr. Pena.
           Did you also identify links between Dr. Virlar,
19
       Q.
20
    Dr. Pena on the one hand and a specific Merida Group
21
    entity?
22
       A. I did.
23
           Were they the top two doctors for a specific
24
    Merida Group entity?
25
       A. Yes.
```

- Q. Was that an entity controlled by Rodney Mesquias?
- 2 A. It was.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

- Q. Could we pull up H-52 together with L-2.
 Would you tell the jury about this exhibit?
- A. So again, on the left, just focusing on the Professional Hospice Care entity within the Merida Group, Dr. Virlar and Dr. Pena represented the majority of patients seen at that entity.
- Dr. Virlar, 46 percent of the patients; Dr. Pena second at 20 percent of the patients.
- Q. Let's go to Government's Exhibit H-3, please.

 Mr. Petron, what does this depict?
- A. This depicts a summary of the banking records
 where the Merida Group entities paid Mr. Mesquias by
 check. In total, Mr. Mesquias received over \$4.1
 million.
- Q. And is this \$4.1 million separate from the 18 124,000,000 you mentioned earlier?
 - A. I wouldn't necessarily say separate, it could have been derived from the \$124,000,000.
 - Q. Okay. And to be clear, Rodney Mesquias controlled this personal account here?
- A. These checks, I was never -- I was only able to
 locate \$50,000 of these checks being deposited into

 Mr. Mesquias' personal accounts. So this information is

```
derived from checks written off of the Merida Group
entity accounts. But I never saw them actually being
deposited.

Q. Let's go to Government's Exhibit L-3 together
with H-7.
```

What is this, Mr. Petron?

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. So on the right we have payments to an individual named Micaela Wooten from Professional Hospice Care.

 She received over \$414,000.
- Q. And what does it indicate in the memo line for Ms. Wooten?
- 12 A. A profit distribution, or a distribution -- some 13 type of profit sharing or distribution.
 - Q. Do you have any idea whether Ms. Wooten was an owner of the company?
 - A. It's my understanding that she was listed as an owner.
- Q. Let's go to -- let's go to Exhibit L-2 together
 with H-7, please.

What is this document, Mr. Petron?

- A. The one on the left is a -- is the map that we have seen with the medical directors at various entities, and the right is the -- the payments to Ms. Wooten.
- Q. Could we go to Government's Exhibit H-10 together

with Government's Exhibit L-2. 1 2 So on the right, on Exhibit H-10, we have a summary of Medicare billed and paid amounts where 3 Dr. Carrillo was the attending physician. So you can 4 see that there are a total of 147 unique beneficiaries, 5 441 claims with over \$1.9 million billed and \$1.3 6 7 million paid. 8 Q. Let's pull up Government's Exhibit H-11 together 9 with L-2. This a summary of where Dr. Pena was the 10 11 attending physician, we briefly, I think, looked at this 12 already where Dr. Pena primarily in Professional Hospice and Virtue Home Health had 123 beneficiaries, 986 13 14 claims, 4.5 million billed, 3.1 million paid. 15 O. Let's please pull up L-2 together with H-12. H-12 changes the summary to focus more on 16 Dr. Virlar in the San Antonio area. Dr. Virlar had a 17 total of 833 beneficiaries, almost 4,000 claims with 18

over \$17,000,000 billed and over \$13,000,000 paid for those Merida Group patients.

So among the three doctors that worked for Rodney Q. Mesquias, among those three depicted in the map, was Dr. Virlar the top doctor?

A. By far.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Let's go to H-14, please, together with L-2.

4

5

6

8

9

10

```
Focusing on these three doctors, Dr. Virlar,
1
    Dr. Pena, Dr. Carrillo, approximately, how many patients
    were tied to these specific doctors?
3
           1,096.
       Α.
           Let's pull up L-2 together with H-20.
       Ο.
           What does this depict, Mr. Petron?
7
           H-20 is an example of a check to Dr. Carrillo in
       Α.
    the amount of $5,750 for 27 face-to-face.
       Q.
           Who signed that check?
           That appears to be Mr. Mesquias' signature.
       Α.
11
           Let's pull up L-2 together with 21.
       Q.
12
           This is another example of a check to
       Α.
    Dr. Carrillo for $11,600 signed by Mr. Mesquias for
13
14
    face-to-face.
15
           Let's go to L-2 together with 22.
       Ο.
           Yet, a third example, Dr. Carrillo -- Carrillo
16
    for $2,000, memo line ten F2F.
17
18
           Who signed the check?
       Ο.
           It again, it is Mr. Mesquias' name.
19
       Α.
       Q.
           Let's go to L-2 together with 23.
21
           This is a check from Bee Caring Hospice, so a
       Α.
22
    different entity, to Dr. Virlar for $12,200 signed by,
23
    again, Mr. Mesquias, although that signature looks
24
    slightly different. The memo -- memo line reads: F2F
25
    September 2015 services.
```

- Q. Let's go to L-2 together with 24.
- 2 A. Same company check on November 19, 2015 for
- 3 \$8,800 to Dr. Virlar signed by Mr. Mesquias with a memo
- 4 reading October 2015, 44 face-to-face visits.
 - Q. Let's go to L-2 together with 25.
- A. Again, Bee Caring Hospice, November 2016, check
- 7 to Dr. Virlar signed by Mr. Mesquias, 28 F2Fs.
 - Q. Let's go to L-2 together with H-17, please.
- 9 A. And this is an example to Dr. Pena from
- 10 Professional Hospice Care for \$2,000 signed by
- 11 Mr. Mesquias for February 2014 services and \$500
- 12 face-to-face.

5

- 13 Q. Could we jump to H-26.
- 14 Mr. Petron, is this Jack High, Count Two from the
- 15 indictment?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- Q. And could you tell the jury what this slide
- 18 depicts?
- 19 A. This slide depicts a claim of service during
- 20 Mr. High's certification period, August 14th, 2013
- 21 through October 12th, 2013 for hospice services in the
- 22 amount of \$2,567.52.
- 23 Q. Showing you Government's Exhibit H-28.
- 24 Is this Count Three from the indictment?
- 25 A. Correct. This is Count Three for Ms. Perez for a

- certification of December '13 through March '14 for hospice services with a claim of \$4,089.52.
 - Q. Page 30?
 - A. I believe this is Count Five; is that correct?
- 5 O. Count Four.

- A. Count -- I'm sorry, Count Four. Certification

 period of November 2013 through February 3rd, '14 from

 Professional Hospice Care for hospice services with a

 claim in the amount of \$4,304.70.
- 10 Q. Let's go to H-32, please.
- A. This is Count Five for a beneficiary with

 certification period of June 2014 through August 31st,

 '14 from Bee Caring Hospice with a claim in the amount
- 14 of \$4,448.19.
- Q. Let's go to Government's Exhibit H-34. This is Count Six.
- A. Finally, Count Six. We have a certification

 period of February 2016 through April 9th, '16, the

 provider was Bee Caring Hospice with a claim amount of

 \$3,202.85.
- Q. And finally, let's call up Government's Exhibit H-36.
- Is this Count Seven, Mr. Petron?
- A. That's correct. Ms. Conti, with a certification
 period of December '14 through March '15 from Bee Caring

Hospice with a claim in the amount of \$1,282.61.

O. And so each of these examples that we jus

- Q. And so each of these examples that we just went through, these were specific claims that were submitted to Medicare?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. They were submitted to Medicare by the Merida Group?
 - A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And did Medicare pay the Merida Group for each of these specific claims?
- 11 A. Correct.

3

4

5

8

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you also identify the movement of money between and among various Merida Group entities?
- 14 A. I did.
- Q. Let's go to L-2 together with H-47.

 Tell the jury about that.
 - A. So I found numerous transactions between -- I'm sorry, do you want me to speak to this chart or?
- Q. Not that chart but just generally what did you see?
 - A. I found numerous transactions between the various Merida Group entities with BRM being the central -- the central account that was used. So millions of dollars being sent to and from the Merida Group entities into and out of the BRM account.

- Q. And was BRM based in the Valley?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. Could we go to L-1 and H-57.
- A. There we go, yeah. So the summary on the right-hand side represent the movement of money between 2011 and 2017 to and from BRM Home Health. So you can see the amounts in red are amounts being deposited into BRM while the amounts in black are amounts that BRM is sending to the other Merida Group entities.
- So in -- in most situations, I think in all but one or two situations there is more money moving into BRM than going out from BRM.
 - Q. Could we go to H-58, please.
- A. So H-58 represents examples of payments from BRM Home Health to those entities, but these are specific examples over \$10,000 where I was able to trace the Medicare proceeds through the Merida Group entities from BRM to another Merida Group entity.
 - O. How about H-61?
- A. Same example -- same type of an example, but in this situation these are Merida Group entities paying the Chase Credit cards in the amounts over \$10,000, and the amounts in red represent how much Medicare money was contained within each payment.
- Q. If we could go to H-62, please.

- A. Yet, a third type of an example where the Merida Group entities are paying off an American Express or numerous American Express credit cards.
- Q. Now, as a part of your analysis, your financial analysis, did you look at activity in Las Vegas?
 - A. I did.

- Q. And just describe that for the jury at a high level?
- A. So at a high level I went through the Chase credit card transactions, they were here either in the name of one of the Merida Group entities or Mr. Mesquias personally, and identified transactions over \$1,000. I classified them into various categories, one of which was expenses in Las Vegas.
 - Q. Generally, what kinds of expenses would you -- would you see?
 - A. I put them into three or four categories. Like hotel, nightclubs, there were dining expenses and tickets and shows.
 - Q. Showing you Government's Exhibit H-46.

 Can you walk me through this example?
 - A. Sure. H-46 is the top level summary of purchases over \$1,000 for categories that I have generally referred to as lifestyle categories.
- So you have at the top travel, \$167,000; Spurs,

```
San Antonio Spurs basketball, $139,000; various tickets,
1
2
    so these are concerts and shows, $122,000; jewelry store
    expenses for jewelry related things, 118,000; expenses
3
    related to Las Vegas, 112,000; and then so on down this
4
    list.
5
           Let's go to H-48, please.
6
       Ο.
7
           So H-48 then represents the details that make up
       Α.
8
    that previous chart that I just gave you.
9
           So, for example, for dining there was a total of
    $31,000; for transactions over $1,000, these are the
10
    restaurants where those transactions took place.
11
12
    transactions at 16,900, and then jewelry transactions at
    over $118,000.
13
14
           This is page 2. This has the details of the
15
    Las Vegas expenditures as well as retail expenditures,
    so you can see that there are transactions totalling
16
17
    $112,000, the largest of which Hakkasan, MB Light
18
    Nightclub, Omni of Las Vegas at the very bottom Access
    Encore for 12,000, those are nightclubs in Las Vegas.
19
20
    On the right-hand side you have retail purchases, the
21
    largest Hilburn and Louie Vuitton.
22
       Q.
           If we go to the next page, please.
23
           So here in the top left are the Spurs,
24
    San Antonio Spurs basketball expenses for $139,000,
```

there's other sport and leisure merchants for \$19,000,

```
generalized tickets, so the largest being expenses for
1
2
    StubHub for $91,000, and finally vehicle related
    expenses totalling almost $62,000.
3
           There's a -- there's a -- excuse me.
4
       Ο.
                                                   There's a
    Porsche indicated there in the cars?
5
           I'm sorry, there's it --
6
       Α.
7
       Q.
           A Porsche?
           There's Porsche, there's Land Rover, Jaguar, a
8
       Α.
9
    BMW expenses.
           Go to the next page, please.
10
       Ο.
11
           These are expenses related to travel, so you can
       Α.
12
    see expenses related to cruise lines, hotels, airfares,
    ski trips, anything that I could classify as travel
13
14
    totalling over $167,000.
15
                MR. LOWELL: Your Honor, we'll pass the
16
    witness.
                THE COURT: Each side will have 32 minutes.
17
18
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
    BY MR. HECTOR CANALES:
20
       Q.
           Good morning, Mr. Petron.
21
       Α.
           Good morning.
22
       Q.
           How are you doing today?
23
       Α.
           Good.
24
       Ο.
           All right. My name is Hector Canales and I
25
    represent Rodney Mesquias in this case, okay?
```

```
1
       Α.
          Okay.
2
           Now, I'm going to put on the screen with
    permission with -- from opposing counsel here, this is
3
    your -- your CV, correct?
4
5
           Correct. When I had hair, yes.
       Q. Yeah. All right. And so you work for Stout, and
6
7
    how long have you worked for Stout?
       A. Stout acquired my previous firm in February of
8
9
    2014.
           All right. And I see here you're from -- your
10
       Ο.
11
    offices are in -- in D.C.?
12
       A. Correct.
       Q. All right. We're kind of mad at Washington right
13
14
    now because of the Astros. But you've got some degrees
15
    in -- in accounting and in statistics, correct?
           That's correct.
16
       Α.
17
           All right. And so you're -- you are a private
    citizen, I take it, then, right?
18
19
       A. I am.
20
       Q.
          You're not a Government employee?
21
           I am not.
       Α.
```

Right. And you don't work for free; do you?

No. And you're not -- you're on the clock as we

22

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Ο.

I do not.

sit here today, right?

A. I am.

1

- Q. And I believe you said the Government reached out to you sometime in the fall of '17 to contract with
- 4 | your -- with -- with your firm, right?
- 5 A. That is correct.
 - Q. And that's not an unusual thing; is it?
- 7 A. It's not.
- Q. Right. And in fact, you're a -- part of your job is you're a professional witness, right?
- 10 A. I would not say I'm a professional witness.
- Q. Well, it's certainly part of your -- part of your responsibilities and -- and duties as when you're working with the Government, right?
- A. It is ultimately if it comes to it an end that I have do if necessary for a particular matter.
- Q. When you're doing your work, you have to -- you presume and expect that this work is going to be presented in -- in trials like this one?
- A. I always have to have that assumption going in, yes.
- Q. That's right. And as part of your -- your resume here, you list some of your experience as a testifier, right?
- A. Correct. There -- there are a variety of rules related to testimony, and one of them is to disclose all

```
situations in which I've testified, and so I keep this
1
2
    record, yes.
       Q. And these are -- these are some of these cases
3
    here, right?
4
           That is correct.
5
       Α.
           And -- and in all of these cases here, you're
6
7
    testifying for the Government, right?
8
       Α.
           The fourth one down on -- I'm testifying for the
9
    Government, but it's the State of California as opposed
    to the United States.
10
11
       Ο.
           All right. Not for the Defendants?
12
       Α.
           Correct.
          Is that the case for all of these cases that you
13
       Q.
14
    list?
15
           No, there are a few, if you keep going, that I
       Α.
    will have testified for private companies, or at the
16
    very bottom I testified against the Government.
17
18
       Q. Okay. In civil matters where you had company
19
    versus company?
20
       Α.
           Some civil matters, company verse company, but I
21
    have a number of engagements actively -- active against
22
    the Government and I've testified against the
23
    Government.
24
       Q. Okay. And what's your hourly rate, sir?
```

A. It's \$365.

- 1 0. \$365 an hour.
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. P-e-t-r-o-n, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And in this particular case, we've been provided notice from Mr. Lowell here in the United States back in August of 2019 that you had billed the United States how
- 8 much?
- 9 A. At this point it's \$227,000. I think to date 10 it's a little over 250,000.
- Q. And how much -- and -- and back in August, they still owed you, roughly, \$100,000, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Is the Government caught up on their bill to you?
- 15 A. Unfortunately, not.
- 16 Q. All right. So how much have they paid you?
- 17 A. They have paid me the difference between these 18 two amounts, so call it 104,000 to date.
- Q. I'm sorry, I'm slow. So how much -- so they have paid anymore than the 123?
- A. Oh, I'm sorry, I misread it. I thought the -the right-hand side was the amount outstanding.
- The amount paid is 123,000 to date, so they owe about 104,000 as of August.
- 25 Q. Right. So, but as we sit here today, have they

```
paid you anymore than the 123 that they sent back here
1
2
    in August?
           They have not.
3
       Α.
           Okay. All right. So your -- the meter has been
       0.
4
5
    running, but they haven't paid -- they haven't paid
    any -- any money since -- well, actually even this 123,
6
7
    do you remember when they paid that?
           I do not know the date that those payments were
8
       A.
9
    made.
          And do you submit your bills every two weeks,
10
       Ο.
11
    every 30 days?
12
       Α.
           Monthly.
           Monthly, all right. And so are -- is the
13
       Q.
14
    Government past 30 days, past 60 days, past 90 days,
15
    how -- how far behind are they?
16
       A. I'd have to look and talk to my accounting
    people. I'm not exactly sure.
17
18
       Q. Did you bring any of your -- and I take it, sir,
    that you keep your time, right?
19
20
       A. Absolutely.
21
           And the Government probably requires that you do
       Ο.
22
    that?
23
       Α.
          Correct.
24
       Q.
           Right? And when you submit your bill, you submit
25
    some backup information for it, you don't just, you
```

```
know, you submit a bill and then your -- your time-logs,
1
2
    right?
           For instance, we would have everyday how -- what
3
       Α.
    person, how many hours and the activities that they did.
4
           Do you have those time-logs with you?
5
       Ο.
           I do not.
6
       Α.
7
           Why not?
       Q.
8
           No one asked me to bring them.
       Α.
9
           And so -- now, these -- these exhibits that you
       Q.
10
    went over, as we were going along, we -- we -- I
11
    printed them -- I printed them out as - are -- as they
    were -- as they were being referenced, did you create
12
    these?
13
14
           Yes, my firm did.
       Α.
15
           Okay. All right. And so this is the part of
       Ο.
    what you're getting paid for, right, is the creation of
16
    these -- of these documents, these pages?
17
18
       Α.
          Yes, sir.
19
           All right. And so there are one, two, three,
       Q.
20
    four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, 11, 12, 13,
21
    14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27,
22
    28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37. 37 pages that
23
    they went over with you. So we've got 250K and 37
24
    pages, right?
25
           That were introduced here in Court, yes.
       Α.
```

```
Q. So we did 250,000 divided by 37, that's $6,756 a
1
2
    page, right?
3
           If that's the way you want do the math, sure.
       Α.
                                                            Ι
    would never characterize our work in that way.
4
5
       Q. Right. But -- but what it boils down to, what it
    comes down to here today, that's what -- if we were
6
7
    going to select this -- each page, that's what it would
8
    cost per page?
9
           I wouldn't agree with that statement. That's the
    way the math works, but I wouldn't agree with the
10
11
    statement.
12
       Q. And is that amount, sir, per page greater than
    the $1,200 for Ms. Conti?
13
14
           The mathematical amount, yes, if you're comparing
15
    67 to 1200, yes, 67 is more.
16
           And 67 is more than 3200?
       Q.
17
       Α.
           Correct.
18
           And it's more than 4400, right?
       Q.
19
       Α.
           Correct.
20
       Q.
           And it's more than 4300, right?
21
           That's correct.
       Α.
22
           It's more than 4,000?
       Q.
23
       Α.
           That is correct.
24
       Q.
           And it's more than 2500, right?
25
           That is correct.
       Α.
```

```
Now -- and -- and -- if I understand your
1
       Q.
2
    testimony correctly, all that you've done is summarize
3
    and organize and distill down into these 37 pages
    voluminous documents, right?
4
           That is correct.
5
       Α.
           And those documents kind of come in two
6
       Ο.
7
    categories, see if you agree with me. One, is going to
    be the claims data, right?
8
9
       Α.
           Correct.
           And then the second are going to be bank records?
10
       Ο.
11
       Α.
           Correct.
12
           And the -- the -- did you interview or discuss --
       O.
    did you -- did you interview or have any discussions
13
14
    with any doctors in this case?
           I did not.
15
       Α.
           Any nurses?
16
       Q.
17
           I did not.
       Α.
18
           All right. Any of the patients involved?
       Q.
       A. I did not.
19
20
       Q.
           Any of their families?
21
       Α.
           No.
22
           Okay. The only people you talked to in this case
       Q.
23
    about the -- the -- about the facts of this case are
24
    the -- is the Government, right?
25
       Α.
           That is correct.
```

```
1
       Ο.
           Okay. And when it came to evaluating, let's --
2
    let's deal with the -- with the bank records side, okay?
    I think you had some charts here. Well, here, let me
3
    put this one up, H-60 -- H-66.
4
           You went and summarized payments to Jesus Virlar
5
    Cadena, correct?
6
7
       Α.
           That is correct.
8
           How did you know, or what -- why did you pick
       Ο.
9
          Why did you pick Jesus Virlar Cadena?
10
       Α.
           I was asked to summarize payments to Dr. Virlar.
11
           So the Government provided you with a list of
       Ο.
12
    people to go in and say find all the payments, summarize
    the payments of individual X?
13
14
           Correct.
       Α.
15
           All right. And who did they tell you to look at?
       Ο.
           Dr. Virlar, Dr. Carrillo, Dr. Pena, I'm sorry,
16
       Α.
    Mr. Garza, Mr. Mesquias, Ms. Wooten, other entities that
17
    were associated with the Merida Group, and I could be --
18
    I could be leaving one or two off, I'm sorry.
19
20
       Q.
           Okay. All right. And so they -- they gave you
21
    the assignment, you didn't yourself -- you didn't look
22
    at all the people who received money, you just looked at
23
    the people they told you to look at?
```

A. Correct.

24

25

Q. All right. And when it came to -- when it came

```
to looking at -- you analyzed, also, certain expenses,
1
2
    right, like the -- you looked at Vegas, right?
           Correct.
3
       Α.
          All right. Did you look at other business
       Ο.
 4
    expenses, for instance, did you -- did you go in and
5
    look at money spent on quality assurance?
6
7
           I looked at all the transactions over $1,000, so
       Α.
8
    I saw business expenses, but did not summarize those
9
    business expenses.
           Right. But did the Government tell you, hey,
10
       Ο.
11
    look, we want you to look, we want you to look at --
12
    at -- at Las Vegas -- they told you to look at Las
13
    Vegas, right?
14
           I think the instructions were look for
15
    personal-type related expenses.
16
       Q.
           Okay. All right. And so some of these expenses
    you're talking about are personal expenses, and some are
17
18
    business expense, right?
           That's a -- an important distinction so I don't
19
       Α.
20
    know how those expenses were classified on the books and
21
    records of the Merida Group entities. I'm just telling
22
    you from a layman's understanding what looked like
23
    personal versus business.
24
       Q. Right. But so I guess what I'm looking at --
25
    what I'm wanting to know is, for instance, did you
```

```
create a summary here -- can you tell us how much Merida spent on computer compliance software?
```

- A. So what I do know is that about -- I classified \$867,000 into personal-type expenses. There was another \$900,000 of expenses greater than \$1,000 that I would have classified as some type of business related expense.
- Q. Right, but you didn't -- you didn't pull out, for instance, you can't tell us, as you sit here today, that whether or not some of these, like for instance, some of these Amex charges here, whether some of those charges went to cover software licensing, compliance licensing?
- A. Correct. I did not have the American Express
 detail records to do the analysis.
 - Q. And but -- had the Government told you, hey, go out -- go and find out how much Merida is spending on quality assurance and employees on quality assurance, that's some -- that's something, with your experience you could have done, right?
 - A. Absolutely.

- Q. Have you been asked to do that, right?
- A. Had I been asked and had I been -- had access to the records to do that, yes.
- Q. But those -- you did have access to those records. All of the expenses, all the bills that Merida

```
paid, you -- you had them all?
1
2
           I did not have them all. There were many
    accounts missing, I did not have the American Express
3
    records, I did not have the internal accounting
4
    documents of the companies, I had the bank statements
5
    and the Chase credit cards.
6
7
           Is it your experience, sir, that if the
       0.
    United States of America wants something in the context
8
9
    of litigation like this is if they want it, they can get
    it?
10
11
           It's my experience that sometimes the
    United States doesn't quite get everything that I would
12
    like them to get.
13
14
       Q. Right. But in this case, you don't even know
15
    because you didn't ask for things, like, to determine
    how much money Merida spent on compliance with rules and
16
    regulations, right?
17
           I was never asked to exam compliance. I did ask
18
    for further financial documents that I didn't get.
19
20
       Q. How about lawyers' fees? Did you put a category
21
    in there, did you look at how much Merida, if any, if
22
    they spent any money on lawyers?
23
           I did not categorize that, no.
       Α.
```

Q. Okay. Or training, or training, right? Did you break that out?

A. I did not.

1

4

7

8

- Q. Okay. Or -- or their -- their payroll, did you break out their payroll?
 - A. I did not have access to the payroll accounts.
- Q. But -- but you went and you pulled out Cirque de Soleil and StubHub?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. The Government gave you enough information to break out his cars and -- and entertainment, right?
- 10 A. Correct. I was asked to look for personal-type 11 expenses.
- 12 O. Does Stout have season tickets for the Nationals?
- A. We do not, unfortunately.
- Q. Is that common though where companies have tickets to professional teams?
- 16 A. It's not uncommon.
- 17 Q. Have you, sir, ever been taken to a ball game?
- 18 A. I have been taken to a ball game.
- Q. All right. And -- and, sir, did that -- did that ruin your integrity?
- 21 A. I sure hope not.
- Q. And, sir, are -- when you get paid, do you get paid by the Government in -- in -- in cash or with a check?
- 25 A. Usually it's neither one of those, it's a wire

```
transfer.
1
2
       Q. Okay. And when there's a wire transfer, there's
    usually a memo on there, right, it will say it's for
3
    professional services?
4
           I -- I -- honestly, I don't see the wire details
5
    so I'm not sure how they represent it.
6
7
           Already. And but are you being paid here, sir,
       Q.
8
    for your -- for your time or your testimony, which have
9
    they bought?
           Time, sir.
10
       Α.
11
           Why not your testimony?
       Ο.
12
       Α.
           I guess it depends upon how you're looking at it.
    I'm being compensated for my time.
13
14
           And so if I were to say your -- your testimony,
       Ο.
15
    that would be an insulting kind of thing to -- to say to
    you, right, because it implies that you're -- you're
16
    being bought, right?
17
           I guess everyone could take it however they want
18
    to take it. I'm compensated for my time, whether I'm
19
20
    analyzing records, whether I'm testifying, whether I'm
21
    speaking with someone all the same amount.
22
       Q.
           That -- that's -- that's right. And so it really
23
    does depend, right, it's -- on your perspective, right,
```

and how cynical you may be about whether or not somebody

like yourself is being paid for their -- their time or

24

```
their testimony, right?
1
           I guess I'm not quite following your distinction,
2
    or your cynicism comment, I'm here to summarize facts
3
    and to tell you facts that I found in the documents and
4
    the data that I've received.
5
           And so -- all right. So let me -- now, I
6
7
    think -- I want to switch gears to the claims data side.
8
       Α.
           Sure.
9
           And the claims data side, you received -- it
       Q.
    comes in some big spreadsheets, excel spreadsheets,
10
11
    right?
12
       Α.
           Correct.
       Q. All right. And so -- and there's no medical
13
14
    records that come with the claims data, it's just an
15
    excel spreadsheet that's got a bunch of numbers on it,
16
    right?
17
           And letters.
       Α.
           Numbers and letters, names of doctors, initials
18
       Ο.
    of patients, amounts, that sort of thing, right?
19
20
       Α.
           Correct.
21
           Okay. And -- let me find this. Sorry, while I
       Ο.
22
    find what I'm looking for.
23
           It will be the last one that I come across for
24
    sure.
25
       A. Always is.
```

```
Here it is, sorry. H-9.
1
       Ο.
2
           And what I want to focus in on here is this
    column here, number of beneficiaries and the provider
3
    name. All right, so we have a series of -- of both
4
    hospices and -- and plus home health care companies,
5
    right?
6
7
       Α.
           Correct.
           And -- and, sir, are you -- are you an expert in
8
       Ο.
9
    the regulations, the CFRs and the statutes on home
    health care and hospice?
10
11
       Α.
           I'm not.
12
       Q.
           Okay. All right. But you know the difference
    between a hospice and a home health care?
13
14
           I do.
       Α.
15
           Okay. And you know here, what we're summarizing
       Ο.
    here are the -- we have two types of providers here, we
16
17
    have a hospice provider and a home health provider,
18
    right?
           Correct.
19
       Α.
20
       Q.
           Two different types of patients, right?
21
       Α.
           Correct.
22
           Okay. And so here they all are on the left.
       Q.
23
    we're dealing with a total number of beneficiaries, is
24
    that -- just so to make sure our lingo is right,
25
    beneficiaries are patients, right?
```

- A. Right.
- Q. Beneficiaries they're Medicare beneficiaries,
- 3 right?

4

7

8

9

12

16

17

18

- A. Specific to Medicare, correct.
- Q. So in the claims world, in your world, the -- they're not patients, they're beneficiaries?
 - A. That's just in my world what they're called, yes.
 - Q. Right. Okay. All right. Now, we're -- just making sure our lingo was on the same page.
- So we're talking about a total, the total universe of patients, 9,339 patients, right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And that's where this -- this \$100,000,000 figure

 comes from, right, are these here? You've got them

 right here, it's even more than that, 150 and 124?
 - A. Correct. The 152,000,000 is how much was billed to the Medicare program and Medicare paid \$124,000,000.
 - Q. All -- all of those, all of those.
- 19 A. Correct, all of those.
- Q. And -- and so, sir, can you -- what percentage -now, you did not -- out of these nine -- 9300 patients,
 you didn't conduct a random representative sample out of
 those patients; did you?
 - A. I did not.
- 25 Q. And it -- as a statistician, you know that in

```
order to fairly and reliably draw any inference from a sample, that sample must be randomly selected and representative of the whole, right?
```

- A. I guess it depends upon the outcome and what it is you're trying to randomly represent.
- Q. Right. If you want to prove that those 9,339 patients are fraudulent, you've got a couple of options; one, is you've got to go review every single one of them, right?
- 10 A. I guess that's one possibility, rather impossible 11 task but, yes.
- Q. The other possibility -- the other possibility is to go and try to design a statistical tool, right?
 - A. You could.

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

- Q. To -- to do that, right?
- A. You can design a statistical sample to test the representativeness of whether or not the underlying claims are fraudulent or what proportion of those claims are fraudulent.
 - Q. And you didn't do that here?
- 21 A. That's not what I was asked to do.
 - Q. Okay. And so the answer is you did not do that?
- 23 A. Correct, that's not what I was asked to do.
 - Q. All right. Just wanted to make clear.
- 25 And so, sir, can you -- can you tell me, sir,

```
there are, I think Count Two, Count Three, Count Four,
1
2
    Count Five, Count Six and Count Seven, how many patients
3
    is that?
       Α.
           That's six.
4
           Six, sir. Six out of nine -- and do you know,
5
       Q.
    sir, whether or not any of these six are hospice or home
6
7
    health patients?
       A. We can -- I have them listed on the chart so I
8
9
    can tell you.
           Do you know right now though?
10
       Ο.
           I think most of them are hospice, but we could
11
       Α.
12
    just look at them.
       Q. You're not sure?
13
14
           I think they're hospice, we can --
       Α.
15
           Okay. All of them?
       Ο.
           I -- I know my memory is that most of them are
16
17
    hospice.
18
           Okay. And what --
       Ο.
           I don't know if they're all hospice.
19
       Α.
20
       Q.
           -- percentage, sir, is six out of 9,339?
21
           A small one.
       Α.
22
           Can you work it out?
       Q.
23
       Α.
           Sure. .06 percent.
24
       Ο.
           Point 0 what?
25
           Six.
       Α.
```

- Ο. Six percent.
- 2 Α. Correct.

3

17

- So we got 9,339 versus 6 equals .06? Ο.
- 4 Α. Correct.
- So these patients here, that's an extremely small 5 Ο. number, right? 6
- 7 Α. Correct. And it's my experience that the Government doesn't try to allege every single patient in 8 9 an indictment.
- Right. And these particular patients, you didn't 10 11 pick them; did you?
- I did not. 12 Α.
- And so from a statistical standpoint, sir, these 13 Q. 14 six, because they're not the product of a random 15 generalized sample, cannot tell you anything about the rest of the 9,000, right? From a statistical 16 mathematical standpoint?
- 18 I mean, if you're asking my expert opinion as a statistician, those six are not drawn from a valid 19 statistical random sample. 20
 - Ο. Therefore --
- 22 Α. But I wouldn't --
- 23 Q. Therefore, you cannot extrapolate from them, 24 correct?
- 25 So if I could finish. So I would not want to do Α.

```
a random statistical extrapolation. It doesn't mean the six can't be instructive in some way, just not statistically.
```

- Q. Right. And if you can't do it statistically in your world means you can't do it reliably, right?
- A. If I was asked to produce an estimate of the loss, or an estimate of the amount of fraud in this case and asked to give an expert opinion, using statistics, then, yes, I would want to do that statistical sample, but there's many ways to slice an apple.
- Q. Right. But it hasn't been done here? They didn't pay you \$250,000 to do a statistical sample; did they?
 - A. That's correct, they did not.
- Q. They paid you \$250,000 to create 37 charts about how we spent our money and where it went, right?
- A. I think there's a lot in those charts besides how we spent the money and where it went.
- Q. And according to your own testimony, you didn't really even get to finish, sounds like?
 - A. Get to finish what?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

- Q. Well, you didn't have all the records. Did you tell them, hey, I don't have all the records, I've got more work to do?
- 25 A. I did say that I was missing some records and I

```
1
    could do more work, yes.
2
       Q. And -- and so I guess -- did they pay for your --
    your -- your -- or are you going to -- they haven't even
3
4
    caught up, are you going to bill them for the -- I
5
    presume you flew down here?
6
       Α.
           Correct.
7
           And I presume that you stayed in a hotel?
       Q.
8
       Α.
           Correct.
9
           Rented a car?
       Q.
10
       Α.
           Yes.
11
       Q. All right. And so you're going to put that on
12
    your bill?
13
       Α.
          They reimburse for expenses.
14
           Is that part of the contract that they're going
       Ο.
15
    to pay for all your travel and expenses?
16
           Correct.
       Α.
           Reimburse for your meals?
17
       Ο.
           Well, the Government does this funky thing with
18
       Α.
19
    per diems, but, yes.
20
       Q. Let's do this math. Do you have -- maybe you've
21
    already done it. I didn't see it anywhere, I didn't see
    it in here.
22
23
           Do you know what these -- what these total, what
24
    these amounts here on the Counts Two to Seven, do you
```

know what they total?

```
I don't, and -- 17, 18, call it -- 19, 20,000.
1
       Α.
2
           2,567.52 plus 4,089.52 plus 4,304.70 plus
       Q.
3
    4,448.19 plus 3,202.85 plus 1,282.61.
           That look about right?
4
           It does.
5
       Α.
6
           So we got a total here of 19,895.39, right?
       Ο.
7
       Α.
           Correct.
           That's, what, $19,895.39, that's the total amount
8
       Ο.
9
    of payments, that's the payment, right, that's the
    payment for Counts Two to Seven, is what that totals up
10
11
    to, do you agree?
12
       A.
           I agree.
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: Pass the witness.
13
14
                THE COURT: Does anybody need a break?
15
    Mr. Cyganiewicz.
16
                MR. CYGANIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'm going to
    yield my time to Mr. Guerra.
17
18
                 I have no questions of this witness.
19
                THE COURT: Mr. Guerra.
20
                MR. GUERRA: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
                May I proceed?
22
                THE COURT: Please.
23
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION
24
    BY MR. GUERRA:
25
       Q. Mr. Petron, good morning, sir.
```

- 1 Α. Good morning. 2 Q. How are you? 3 I'm well. You? Α. 4 I'm hanging in there. It's been a long couple Ο. 5 weeks. 6 Mr. Petron, based on the -- the CV that 7 Mr. Canales brought up and showed you and the ladies and gentlemen of the jury earlier, you list on there about 8 9 25 cases, does that sound about right? 10 Α. Sure. 11 Okay. Unfortunately, I know you're -- you Ο. 12 probably like details, so do we, so we counted them up and it was 25, I'll offer to you. 13 14 Are you aware that 20 out of those 25 cases 15 are where you testified on behalf of the Government? 16 I'm aware. Α. 17 Ο. Okay. Does that sound about right to you? 18
 - Α. Sure.

- Okay. In this case, you testified earlier to the 19 Q. 20 ladies and gentlemen of the jury that you've billed the 21 Government \$250,000, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 23 Q. You've only been paid about 123,000; is that 24 right?
- 25 Α. Correct.

- Q. And I understand, you know, bills get paid or don't get paid on occasion, but that money has been incurred since October 2017; is that right?
 - A. Correct. That's over the course of the last two years.
 - Q. Right. Do you know how long Dr. Francisco Pena worked for the Merida Group?
 - A. I do not.
- 9 Q. Okay. It was in your summary charts, and I'll offer to you that it was from 2012 to 2017.
- 11 A. Okay.

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay? And I believe your testimony earlier was that during that time period that Dr. Pena was paid \$108,000 during that time period for Merida; is that about right?
 - A. You're testing my memory. I believe that's about right.
 - Q. Sure, we can bring it up if you need us to but --
 - A. If -- if you know that that's the number, that's the number that's in my head, but --
 - Q. Well, that was the number that was on the H series exhibits that Mr. -- Mr. Lowell and Canales showed up there.
- 24 A. Perfect. Those numbers are accurate.
- $25 \mid Q$. So from 2012 to 2017, \$108,000, how much is that

```
per year, just averaged out annually?
1
2
           20 -- 20 something.
           I'm not going to get out my I-Phone because I'm
3
       Ο.
    pretty sure that's easy for both of us to figure out. A
4
    little over 20,000 a year; is that right? How much is
5
    that a month, again, average, ballpark?
6
7
           Average ballpark call it 8-, 9,000.
       Α.
           No, no, no, I'm sorry, if it it's 20,000 a year?
8
       Ο.
9
           I'm sorry, I'm -- I'm --
       Α.
           Again, I'm not the math guy, you are, but in my
10
       Ο.
11
    feeble attorney mind that's about $2,000?
12
           A little less than.
       Α.
13
       Q.
           A little less, give or take, right?
14
           Right.
       Α.
15
           Could we go ahead and call up Government Exhibit,
       Ο.
    Roy, H-17. I think even the equipment is cold, it needs
16
    to warm up a little bit as well.
17
18
           But I'll offer to you this is Government's
    Exhibit H-17 and it shows, as you testified to with Mr.
19
20
    Lowell, that Professional paid Dr. Pena about $2,000 for
21
    this particular time period; is that right?
22
       A. Correct.
23
       Q.
           Okay. Roy, could we call up Government Exhibit
24
    H-18, please.
25
           And again, here $2,000 for this time period
```

```
Professional to Dr. Pena; is that right?
1
2
           Correct. So that's consistent with the monthly
    calculation that we just did.
3
       Q. Absolutely. And then just so we get them all out
4
    because I know you talked about this with Mr. Lowell.
5
6
           H-19, Roy, please.
7
           This is $5,000, but the memo line notes that it's
    for two months, right?
8
9
       A. Correct. Correct.
           That's about right. And I take it you're a
10
       Ο.
11
    baseball fan, right?
12
       A.
           I'm a sports fan.
       Q. As am I. But you are familiar in baseball
13
14
    there's a term, crooked number, you know.
15
    Unfortunately, when my Astros are losing the World
    Series is because they only scored one run an inning and
16
    your Nationals would score two or three in an inning and
17
18
    they would call that a crooked number, right?
19
           If you say so.
       Α.
20
       Q. Well, one is one, a crooked number like a two,
21
    exactly.
22
           Roy, could we call up Exhibit H-20, Government
23
    Exhibit H-20.
24
           Now, this is what I would call a crooked number,
25
    not because it's crooked, but because it's not $2,000 or
```

```
$5,000, right? What's the number on the screen?
1
2
       A. 5750.
       Q. 5750, there's all sorts of squiggly lines if we
3
    were to write it out, you know. That was paid to
4
    Dr. Carrillo, right?
5
       A. Yes, I would not call that a crooked number in
6
7
    your definition of the word crooked.
8
       Q. Well, to me there's more numbers than there are
    zeros, basically, 575.
9
           Let's go to H-21, Roy.
10
11
           There's eleven, six. Again, there's all sorts of
    numbers, but, my point --
12
13
       Α.
          Well, there's zeros, too, though.
14
          What's that?
       Ο.
       A. I said, there's -- I mean, if the definition that
15
16
    we're trying to get at is zero versus not, then there's
    a bunch of zeros here as well so --
17
           No. And in fact, this is the very simple
18
       0.
    point I'm trying to make, Mr. Petron.
19
           These checks look different than the checks that
20
    were given to Mr. Pena; is that right?
21
22
       A. In -- in what way, just that they're larger in
23
    amount.
24
       Q. Larger in amount, different numbers. The -- the
25
    three checks the Government showed you and that I showed
```

```
you for Dr. Pena were straight $2,000, $2,000 and a
1
2
    $5,000 for two months, correct?
          Correct.
3
       Α.
       Q. And as you've testified earlier, based on your
 4
    math, that kind of is consistent with paying a flat
5
    monthly rate, correct?
6
7
       Α.
           The average is about a little less than 2,000
    so --
8
9
       Q. But I understand. But, actually, you said,
    yourself that that's consistent with the item up here.
10
11
           That's consistent, absolutely.
       Α.
12
       Q.
           Yeah. We don't know what's going on here; is
13
    that right?
14
           I do not know specifically what the derivation of
15
    the $11,600 is.
       Q. And that's exactly right. You're only here for
16
    the interpretation of numbers?
17
           I wouldn't even say I'm here for the
18
       Α.
    interpretation of them, I'm just here to tell you what
19
    the numbers are.
20
21
       Q. Crunching numbers, right? I mean, you did do
    some calculation, did you not?
22
23
       Α.
           I did.
24
       Q. You took some out, you played around with an
25
    excel spreadsheet and that's how you got the
```

```
summary exhibits that Mr. Canales showed you, correct?
1
2
           Correct. I summarized all that information.
           Yeah, and I'm not trying to say that you made
3
       Ο.
    stuff up, Mr. Petron.
4
           Good because I did not.
5
       Α.
           And I'm not accusing you of that, sir, but you
6
7
    know, I know that you took the data and you would take a
8
    column from an excel spread sheet, a couple of columns
9
    that were relevant to your calculations and then put
    them in other exhibit and that's how we got these
10
11
    30-plus pages that Mr. Canales talked to you about; is
12
    that about right?
           Correct. I summarized about 800,000 records.
13
       Α.
14
           Summarized, whatever, you used excel
       Q.
15
    spreadsheets, took relevant data out of those columns,
    charts and made these, correct?
16
17
       Α.
           Correct.
18
           Before I forget, how much have you billed the
       Ο.
19
    United States Government during your professional career
20
    as a -- in your capacity right now?
21
           I have no idea.
       Α.
22
           Would it be more than $1,000,000?
       Q.
23
       Α.
           Probably, yes.
24
       Ο.
           More than 2,000,000?
```

A. I don't know.

```
20 cases? And I mean the $250,000 that you
1
       O.
2
    billed the United States Government to date in this
3
    case, is that par for the course for you given what you
    do?
4
5
           This is a somewhat larger than normal case.
           Okay.
6
       Ο.
7
           Not the largest case I've ever worked on, but
       Α.
8
    larger than average.
9
           Okay, this is the largest case you've ever worked
       Q.
    on?
10
           I said this is not the largest case I've ever
11
12
    worked on.
           Oh, I'm sorry, okay. So 250,000 would be less
13
       Q.
14
    than what you would normally charge given the size of
15
    those other cases, correct?
16
           So I'll be clear. This is a larger case than I
       Α.
    normally work on.
17
18
       Q.
           Right.
           But not the largest case I've ever worked on.
19
       Α.
20
       Q.
           Mr. Petron, would it be outside the realm of
21
    possibility that you've billed the United States
22
    Government for professional services over $2,000,000 in
23
    your career?
24
       A. In my career?
```

Q. Yeah.

- A. 20 years, probable -- possibly.
- Q. Oh my gosh, 20 years. Well, how about in the past five?
 - A. I don't know. I don't know the numbers.
- Q. Okay. You don't know how much you've billed the Government over the past five years?
 - A. I do not.
 - Q. You're a number guys, right?
- 9 A. I am.
- 10 Q. You're the managing partner of your office?
- 11 A. I have lots of things to manage.
- Q. Well, but also as the managing partner of your
- 13 office, what you do manage, I mean you're in business,
- 14 right?

4

7

- 15 A. I am.
- Q. Part of the things have you to manage is how much money is coming in this; is it not?
- 18 A. It is.
- Q. And as the managing partner of your business, you do know how much you and the other people beneath you
- 21 | are billing, correct?
- $22 \mid A$. Not in the level of detail that you're asking me.
- Q. Really? Is Stout, the -- the office you work for
- 24 for Stout, is it just a single office, or is it part of
- 25 a larger firm?

- A. It's part of a larger firm.
- Q. Right. And is there a managing partner of the entire firm?
 - A. We have a president.
- 5 Q. Oh, okay.
- 6 A. CEO.

- 7 Q. You have a CEO of your firm, correct?
- 8 A. Mr. Stout.
- 9 Q. Oh, okay. I didn't know there was a Mr. Stout.
- 10 You -- you report to Mr. Stout as the managing partner
- 11 | for your branch office, correct?
- 12 A. Indirectly, yes.
- 13 Q. Well, no, no, not even indirectly. I would
- 14 | imagine Mr. Stout wants to know the financial health of
- 15 each and every individual office, correct?
- 16 A. I report to someone named Mr. Risius, and
- 17 Mr. Risius is a partner with Mr. Stout.
- 18 Q. Okay. And, but I guess, and let me get to my
- 19 question, Mr. Petron.
- Being an office, part of the larger firm and the
- 21 managing partner of said office, you would have access
- 22 to know how much money your office brings in because you
- 23 | have to report that up the chain; do you not?
- 24 A. I could get access to the information, I just
- 25 don't know it.

- Okay. And how much has your office billed the 1 Ο. 2 Government, not just you, but your office billed the 3 Government? Α. I have no idea. 4 5 In the past year you don't know how much your Ο. 6 office has billed the Government? 7 I do not. Α. If I were to -- wanted to hire you, I believe you 8 O. 9 told Mr. Canales that your hourly rate was \$365 an hour? 10 Α. Correct. 11 Do you have a flat fee for testifying? Ο. 12 Α. I do not. So you've been on the clock since the moment you 13 Q. 14 stepped on the plane in Washington, D.C. to come down? 15 Α. That's not -- no. 16 How does it work? Ο. 17 I only charge when I'm actually working. Α. 18 So were you working yesterday when you were Ο. 19 sitting in the back of that courtroom watching testimony? 20 21
 - I've never been in this courtroom before. Α.
 - You weren't here yesterday taking notes? Q.
- 23 Α. No.

- 24 Q. My apologies. When did you arrive?
- 25 A. I arrived Monday evening.

```
Okay. And were you billing then?
1
       Ο.
2
       Α.
           No.
3
           Only when you walked into this courthouse?
       Q.
           I only bill when I work.
 4
       Α.
5
           Okay. And have you worked since you've been here
       Ο.
6
    Monday?
7
       A. I have prepared, yes.
           Right. In meeting with the Government?
8
       Ο.
9
       Α.
           I have once.
           How -- how much have you billed since you've been
10
       Ο.
11
    in Brownsville, Texas, sir?
12
           I don't know. I haven't done my time yet for
       Α.
    this week.
13
14
       O. And I know --
15
       A. So I mean, call it maybe eight to ten hours, not
    including today.
16
17
       Q. Okay. Not including today of course, and that's
18
    prep time; is that right?
19
       A. Correct.
20
       Q.
           So we'll add that at the very least to the
21
    $250,000?
       A. You could, yes.
22
23
       Q.
           Do you have a services contract with the
24
    Government?
25
       A. What do you mean by a services contract?
```

```
Sure. Basically, when -- to get hired to testify
1
       Ο.
2
    I would imagine you're not -- I mean, you're not an
    employee of the United States Government, correct?
3
       Α.
           Correct.
 4
           Have you ever been an employee of the
5
    United States Government?
6
7
       Α.
           No.
8
           Have you ever had a DOJ dot gov e-mail address?
       Ο.
9
       Α.
           I have.
           Do you currently have that e-mail address?
10
       Ο.
11
           I believe it is active, yes.
       Α.
12
       Q.
           Why do you have -- and I imagine that's the
13
    e-mail address for anyone working with the United States
14
    Government; is that right?
15
           Yeah, correct. I have it because a lot of the
    information that I get is sensitive health care
16
17
    information, otherwise protected Grand Jury information,
18
    and so the Government provides me a mechanism to get
    that information securely.
19
20
       Q.
           Okay. How long have you had that e-mail address,
21
    sir?
22
           Eight years, nine years maybe.
       Α.
23
           How much of your personal business is derived
24
    from testifying as an expert witness for the DOJ?
```

A. Not much. You know, testimony is not something

that occurs, even though I have done it a number of
times, it's not something that occurs frequently. So I
would say a very small portion of it is from testimony.

- Q. And that's a bad question on my part, and let me rephrase it.
 - A. Sure.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

Q. And I know the distinction you're making.

How much of your personal business, your collection you bring into Stout, how much of it is based on working for the United States Government?

- 11 A. It varies, obviously, from time to time and case 12 to case.
 - Q. No, no, no, no, I'm saying total. Like as we sit here today, if we were to look at the income sheet for Michael Petron bringing money into Stout, how much of that money comes from working for the DOJ?
 - A. It varies from time to time, so if you give me a time period I can try to estimate for you.
 - Q. Within the past year?
 - A. I'd probably estimate two-thirds to 70 percent.
- Q. 70 percent of your personal income into Stout comes from working with the DOJ, correct?
 - A. I would say my personal revenue, if you want to call it, origination revenue, something like that.
 - Q. Okay. And as we sit here today, you don't know

- how much money that is; that right? 1 2 Α. I do not. And I believe your testimony with Mr. Canales was 3 Q. that you did not conduct any sort of statistical 4 analysis, come up with a statistical tool to find out 5 how many of those claims that we talked about, those --6 7 those 14,000 claims, whatever it was, were fraudulent; is that right? 8 9 Correct, I developed no statistical sample. Α. You weren't asked to do it; is that right? 10 Ο. 11 Α. Correct. 12 You didn't offer it to the Government, did you? Ο. It's something they know I can do if they need 13 Α. 14 that to be done, yes. 15 Right. But as you're sitting here, you're Ο. looking at it, you're going, oh my gosh, this is so 16 17 much. Hey, guys, we need to conduct a statistical 18 analysis to find out how much fraud is here, did you do that? 19 20 Α. So generally speaking in a case like this we 21 would not do that so --22 Q. But -- and I know Mr. Petron, you didn't do it, 23 but I'm asking did you offer it to the Government?

 - Did I offer it? Α.

25 Q. Sure. Did you suggest it and say, hey, we need

```
to do this?
1
2
           I don't remember one way or the other.
       Q. And was we sit here today, you didn't go through
3
    and -- and you can't tell the ladies and gentlemen of
4
    the jury how much any of those figures up there are
5
    based on fraud; is that right?
6
7
       A. No, my job is not the -- to give an opinion on
    what is fraud or not fraud, my -- I'm just here to
8
9
    summarize and add up the information.
           Right. And so -- and I believe you testified
10
11
    this with Mr. Canales, you didn't look at any medical
12
    files; is that right?
       A. I did not.
13
14
           All right. You did not conduct any sort of
       Ο.
15
    medical review on these things; is that right?
           Correct, I did not.
16
       Α.
           You're not familiar with the way patients are
17
       Ο.
    referred in Laredo; is that correct?
18
           I mean, I have a general understanding of --
19
       Α.
20
       Q.
           No, no, you don't know how patients are referred
21
    in Laredo, Texas; is that correct?
22
       Α.
           I don't have any specific knowledge.
23
       Q.
           Thank you. And you don't know anything about
```

Dr. Pena other than he showed up in these columns; is

24

25

that correct?

- 1 Α. Correct. 2 You don't know what his relationship is to Q. 3 Mr. Mesquias; do you? Besides the financial information that we've 4 5 already talked about, no. 6 The \$108,000, besides that, you don't know what 7 the contractual agreement between Dr. Pena and Merida Group is, correct? 8 9 Α. Correct. You've never -- you never reviewed that 10 Ο. 11 contract? 12 I did not review the contract. A. Q. You never asked to review the contract? 13 14 I don't remember if I did or I did not. Α. 15 MR. GUERRA: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Lowell. 17 MR. LOWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWELL: 19 20 Q. Mr. Petron, you were asked about the nine --21 9,000 patients at the Merida Group; do you remember 22 those questions? 23 Α. I do.
- Q. Now, if Merida Group employees were to tell you that between 70 and 80 percent of those 9,000 patients

didn't qualify for services, would that concern you?

A. Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

- Q. Can you tell the jury why?
- A. That would imply that there are 8,000 patients that are being billed to Medicare that otherwise don't qualify for the services for which Medicare is paying.
- Q. You were also asked about the six patients; do you remember that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. And you mentioned something about in your experience the Government typically charges a small collection of patients; is that right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. How long would be -- will you be in this
 courtroom if we went through every single patient in
 that population?
- A. By billings would go up substantially, so it
 would be hours and hours and hours to detail on
 beneficiary by beneficiary basis all of their billings.
 - Q. How long would we be sitting in the courtroom?
 - A. We would be here for months.
 - Q. Now, you also had some questions about business expenses; do you remember those?
- 24 A. I do.
- 25 Q. What, if anything, did you observe about the

business expenses at the Merida Group? 1 Well, when I examined the credit cards, I 2 classified personal expenses that we've gone over and 3 business expenses, and there were substantial volumes of 4 both. 5 Ο. Anything unusual? 6 7 Well, unusual in the sense of there are business Α. expenses being spent for personal reasons, that could 8 9 become problematic. 10 Ο. How? 11 Α. You have certain tax issues that arise in that 12 situation and so that would, you know, give rise to further investigation. 13 14 O. For what? 15 A. Potential tax evasion, tax fraud, things of that 16 nature. 17 MR. LOWELL: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Canales. 19 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. HECTOR CANALES: 21 Mr. Petron, you are why aware, sir, are you not, Ο. 22 that the Medicare has a program integrity manual for 23 statistical sampling and overpayment estimation, right?

Yes, I'm very familiar with it.

25 Q. This is it, right?

24

Α.

A. Yes.

1

5

7

- Q. So there's an entire -- and there's an entire
 manual about how to do statistical sampling for
 overpayments and estimations, right?
 - A. There is.
- 6 Q. There's a rule for how to do it?
 - A. There's guidelines I would say.
 - O. Okay. Right.
- 9 A. But those guidelines are applicable in a very
 10 narrow set of administrative procedures, not here in a
 11 criminal court.
- Q. Oh, okay. All right. But -- but there's statistical ways to accomplish the task to avoid having to have -- be here for years if the Government wants to?
- 15 A. There are statistical ways that one could draw a 16 sample, yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. And, sir, I just -- can I get the ELMO back?
- 19 THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
- Q. (By Mr. Hector Canales) Here, going back here, is this a fair summary of your hourly rate? Is it
- 22 | accurate?
- 23 A. It is.
- Q. And the amount of you charged and the pages that we went over; remember that?

```
MR. LOWELL: Objection, asked and answered.
1
2
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: I'm just laying --
3
                THE COURT: I haven't heard the question.
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: I just want to lay a
4
5
    four -- well, let me just do this, Judge.
6
                I want to offer and mark as Defendant's
7
    Exhibit RM-102 under Federal Rule of Evidence 1006 a
8
    summary of the witness' testimony.
9
                MR. LOWELL: Objection, Your Honor. Summary
    of what?
10
11
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: Of his -- of his
12
    testimony, Your Honor. They've -- they've -- under Rule
    1006 I can offer a document that fairly summarizes his
13
14
    testimony.
15
                MR. LOWELL: Your Honor, the bottom line is
    inaccurate.
16
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: Well, he said .06.
17
                                                          Wе
    did the math.
18
19
                THE COURT: All right.
20
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: That's what I was
21
    trying do when he objected.
22
                THE COURT: He wants to admit this
23
    handwritten note, any objection to his --
24
                MR. LOWELL: Your Honor, we object. That's
25
    Mr. Canales' interpretation of the 9,000 patients, he's
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
suggesting inappropriately to the jury that there was
less than one percent of patients who did not qualify
for hospice.
            MR. HECTOR CANALES: Your Honor, I'm not
saying that, Your Honor. That wasn't his testimony.
His testimony was, I asked him 9,339 and six of that
what percentage --
            THE COURT: Mr. Canales, the objection is
sustained. I'll allow you to use your handwritten note
for demonstrative purposes, but as an exhibit --
            MR. HECTOR CANALES: For the record,
Your Honor, I want to make sure and let the Court be
aware that I'm offering this pursuant to Federal Rule of
Evidence 1006 which specifically allows for this exact
type of -- of document, not just to be demonstrative but
to be evidence for the jury to take with them.
            THE COURT: Overruled.
            MR. HECTOR CANALES: Tender this as a bill
then, Your Honor. I'd like to have this marked as
Defendant's Exhibit 1 as a -- as a bill.
                        That will be allowed.
            THE COURT:
            MR. HECTOR CANALES: Thank you, Your Honor.
Pass the witness.
            THE COURT: One second.
            MR. GUERRA: Nothing further, Your Honor.
                                                       Ι
```

```
1
    have no further questions.
2
                THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Lowell?
                MR. LOWELL: Nothing further, Your Honor.
3
                THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may step
 4
5
    down.
                Ladies and gentlemen, let's go ahead -- do
6
7
    we have another witness?
                MR. LOWELL: We can take a break.
8
9
                No more witnesses, Your Honor, that was our
    last witness.
10
11
                THE COURT: Wait, wait, one second.
12
    You're -- you're excused, sir.
                Government's update, please?
13
14
                MR. LOWELL: Good news that was our last
15
    witness, the Government rests.
                THE COURT: All right. Ladies and
16
    gentlemen, I realize I jinxed everybody by complimenting
17
    everyone on their promptness and the late starting, I
18
    know we had some trouble with -- with that, but you're
19
20
    going to get a nice surprise today. I've granted a
21
    request for -- we're going to start the defense on
22
    Monday. Everybody get a -- as I explained in my opening
23
    instructions, the trial will proceed in numerous stages.
24
                First, the Government gets to go first,
25
    present their case; then we will have defense. We'll
```

```
1
    start the defense on Monday.
2
                Have a nice long weekend, have a nice break
    and -- but again, absent some kind of minor emergency,
3
    again, let's keep to our promptness, let's have everyone
4
5
    here promptly before 9:00 a.m., all right?
                You're excused at this time.
6
7
                COURT OFFICER: All rise for the jury.
8
                (JURY OUT.)
9
                THE COURT: All right, gentlemen. We'll be
10
    in recess.
11
                Gentlemen, we're going -- again, we'll start
    promptly at 9:00. Have a nice weekend, make sure you --
12
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: Your Honor, we'd like
13
14
    to make our -- our motions right now. I think it's a
15
    proper time and be a good use of the Court's time.
16
                THE COURT: Do you all need a quick break
    before we do that?
17
18
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: Five minutes to
    organize but we'd like to --
19
20
                THE COURT: Let's take a quick recess and
21
    we'll come back momentarily.
22
                (COURT IN SHORT RECESS.)
23
                THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be
24
    seated.
25
                Gentlemen, please come forward. All right.
```

```
Let the record reflect that the Government has rested
1
2
    its case in chief. The jury is no longer present.
                Gentlemen, it's my understanding that the
3
    defense would like to bring up some issues, some
4
    motions. Please proceed.
5
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                        Thank
6
7
    you very much.
                Hector Canales on behalf of Defendant Rodney
8
9
    Mesquias. At this time, Your Honor, now that the
    Government has rested its case in chief, we bring forth
10
    a timely, a Motion for -- for Acquittal of -- based on
11
    the fact, Your Honor, that there is -- and we would move
12
13
    that as to Rodney Mesquias Counts One through Seven,
14
    Count Eight, Count 11 and Count 12, that Mr. Mesquias is
    named in, in the indictment, as well as the forfeiture
15
    portions of the indictment be dismissed on the basis
16
    that there's insufficient evidence to sustain a
17
18
    conviction as it is presently -- as the Government has
19
    put in their -- in their case.
20
                Notably, Your Honor, I would tender to the
21
    Court for its consideration, United States v Ganji, 880
22
    F.3rd 760, Fifth Circuit case, 20 -- 2018, I'm tendering
23
    a copy to -- to opposing counsel, and I'll hand to the
24
    Court as well.
                Most notably, this was a case where the
25
```

Fifth Circuit, Your Honor, reversed an acquittal at the trial court -- a conviction at the trial Court level involving home health care. And the Fifth Circuit in this case reasoned that a fraud cannot be the basis -- legal activity cannot be the basis of a fraud.

In the Ganji case, Your Honor, you had a physician who was referring patients to a home health care company and the Government -- and that physician was being compensated with a -- under a professional services agreement for the referral of those patients to the -- to that home -- home health where she served as a medical director, the exact fact pattern we have in this particular case.

But the Fifth Circuit noted, as the testimony in this case is, that such practice is legal, that the referring to a -- a doctor referring to a home health agency, or a hospice, which he's a medical director is legal. It is -- there's no prohibition in the regulations, or in the statute from -- for such. And the Ganji Court recognized, in fact, that that is a legal preference. And they gave credence to the idea of the continuity of care.

And so the bottom line, Your Honor, is that the activities that the Government is basing, in particular, Your Honor, their conspiracy count for

```
conspiracy for -- to violate the Anti-Kickback Statute,
1
2
    is premised on legal activity.
                The evidence in this case is that -- that
3
    Dr. Virlar, Dr. Pena, Dr. Carrillo had medical
4
    directorship agreements, that they were paid, and that
5
    is illegal -- it is legal to refer their patients to a
6
7
    hospice and/or home health at which they are the -- the
    medical director.
8
                Therefore, they cannot be held liable for
9
    fraud as the result of legal activity.
10
11
                Moreover, Your Honor, on the anti-kickback
12
    side, we have the safe harbor provisions, that payments
    were made pursuant to a written contract for
13
14
    professional services. And there is evidence of -- of
    that -- of that as well.
15
                So -- and secondly, Your Honor, I'll tender
16
    to the Court another case. This is a -- actually, a
17
    memorandum and opinion order out of the Northern
18
    District of Dallas by Chief Judge Barbara Lynn. It's in
19
20
    United States ex rel Wall v Vista Hospice Care, and I
21
    will tender a copy to the Court, and I think I've got
22
    two. Here, you can have that one.
23
                Now, Judge, this case is a false claims qui
24
    tam case, but it involves hospice, and it involves the
25
    False Claims Act. And so while the -- as the Court is
```

well aware there are several mechanisms in which the Government can pursue fraudulent claims, health care fraud claims. They can do it civilly through the False Claims Act, they can even do it criminally through the False Claims Act, you can do it through the Health Care Fraud Statute and/or the Anti-Kickback.

But -- but, Judge Lynn in this case does an excellent review of the hospice rules, regulations. And this case deals with what I think we have here, which is what is the Court to do when the case boils down to differences of opinion? Hospice is a very unique situation, Judge, for health care fraud.

I've done a considerable amount of research, and there are very few hospice cases out there. There are a lot of home health cases. And one of the interesting things I'm sure the Court has seen and -- and -- through the evidence in this case is that hospice is premised on a doctor's prognosis. We've probably beat that horse a lot, but there's a reason for it, Judge, and -- and these two opinions, especially this particular opinion with Judge Lynn, brings this home where fraud, right, fraud cannot be the basis of a difference of -- mere difference of opinion of clinical judgment between two doctors.

Now, in this case it's very important to

understand, Judge, and this is why -- and I would anticipate Mr. Guerra will try to bring this home, but there are no doctors' opinions in the case, right? And to contradict, or if you do, you have, maybe -- maybe you have Virlar versus Gonzaba, right, you have these -- but about a prognosis, about a prognosis of a terminal illness, chocolate versus vanilla, I like chocolate, no chocolate's better than vanilla, no, the Astros are better than the -- those are differences of opinion that cannot for the basis of fraud because two doctors' opinions can be different and they can both be true.

And if the Court -- when the Court goes
through this order, done an excellent review of that,
and I'm going to take the time to take one quote. This
is part of the discussion. And it says "if all that was
necessary to proof falsity" -- falsity of the claims,
all right -- "if all that was necessary to prove falsity
was to put up a medical expert to review medical records
and provide an opinion at odds with that of the
certifying physician, hospice providers would be subject
to potential FCA, False Claims Act, liability, quote,
any time a relator could find a medical expert who
disagreed with the certifying physician's clinical
judgment, that situation would be directly at odds with
the assurances given by CMS that doctors need not --

need not fear the exercise of their medical judgment as 1 2 to the future course of a terminal patient." That's what this case is about. Judge Lynn 3 recognized that, ruled accordingly, and we are asking 4 the Court here to grant our Motion of Acquittal on all 5 these counts against my client Mesquias because the --6 7 the -- the -- arguably, the -- the Government doesn't 8 even have an expert opinion. 9 But even if you want to give them that, put it in light most favorable to the Government, all we 10 11 have here, Judge, is a difference of opinion as to the 12 terminal prognosis of six patients. And that, 13 Your Honor, coupled with the fact that the payments were 14 subject to a professional services agreement under safe 15 harbor, that those referrals are legal, it's a legal preference for the continuity of care purposes, warrants 16 a no reasonable juror could find and convict my client 17 on any of these counts, and we move, Your Honor, that 18 those counts be dismissed and our motion be granted. 19 20 Thank you. 21 THE COURT: I'm assuming everyone wants to 22 make a same or similar motion? 23 MR. CYGANIEWICZ: Judge, on behalf of 24 Mr. McInnis, we -- we adopt those arguments, and also

point out that Ganji case and Judge Lynn's ruling.

25

So let me formally ask for a directed verdict of acquittal on behalf of Mr. McInnis on Counts One, Two through Seven, Count Eight and Count 11 for those same reasons argued by Mr. Canales.

And in addition, that we just seriously submit that the Government has not met its burden of proof that there's evidence sufficient, that they have not proven every element of the offenses as required in those counts, especially the money laundering count, Your Honor. There's been no evidence, or at least insufficient evidence presented at this point in time as to Mr. McInnis on the money laundering, in particular, and ask the Court to at least grant the Motion for Directed Verdict as to that count as to Mr. McInnis.

And, again, for the record, Judge, we're adopting and -- the arguments of counsel by -- of Mr. Mesquias and ask for a ruling in our favor that a Judgment of Acquittal be entered on behalf of Mr. McInnis.

THE COURT: Mr. Guerra.

MR. GUERRA: Your Honor, Robert Guerra here for Francisco Pena. We join in the Motion for Acquittal made by counsel for Mr. Mesquias, as well as counsel for Mr. McInnis. And we ask that the Court grant an acquittal for Francisco Pena on Counts One, Three,

Eight, Nine, Ten and 12.

The evidence presented by the Government in its case in chief is insufficient to sustain a conviction. We believe that the jury could find -- could not find beyond a reasonable doubt that every single element of the crimes that were made -- that were accused were actually made in this case, Your Honor.

And specifically, we further adopt the arguments made by Mr. Canales with regards to the opinion of the Ganji case and the opinion out of the Northern District of Texas, specifically with regards to the Anti-Kickback Statute -- or excuse me, the kickback count, which is Count 12.

There were three instances within that indictment as to Dr. Pena. As the Court, who -- who sat through the two weeks of direct evidence presented by the Government doesn't show, and we have not seen any direct evidence showing that those payments made were part of any sort of kickback scheme.

The law allows under the safe harbor for a medical director to refer patients to a hospice that he's working at. That's what happened. We have legitimate contracts that were made, and we have legitimate payments that were made for medical service.

None of that is illegal and the Government

fails to meet its burden to show that Dr. Pena received kickbacks for those referrals. That would be a clear cut motion for -- ground for acquittal.

As to Counts One and Three, Your Honor, again, the bulk of the testimony that this Court heard and that the jury heard focused on activities well outside of Laredo. The one substantive count, Count Three, Francisca Perez, for the -- for the time period of which the fraud was alleged, there was no evidence, not even any medical evidence, which we argued in our Motion to Exclude, but there was no evidence that the certification during that period was fraudulent.

And the reason why we filed our Motion in

Limine and Motion to Exclude was that the Government set

the ground rules. They said that the actions taken by

Dr. Pena were medically unnecessary. We asked them to

provide evidence of that; they failed to do so.

And as the Court would review Counts One, at least Count One, the allegations as to all of the Defendants, and in particular Dr. Pena, is that the actions taken were medically unnecessary.

This Court and this jury heard no evidence to that effect, much less any evidence that would sustain a finding of guilt, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So for those reasons, we ask that a Motion for Acquittal be granted for Dr. Pena on Counts One, Three, Eight, Nine, Ten and 12. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GUERRA: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Government's response for each respective motion? Thank you, Your Honor. MR. LOWELL: The motion should be denied in its entirety. There has been compelling and overwhelming evidence presented in this case, and it's been consistent. Employee after employee after employee, doctor after doctor, two of whom have pleaded guilty to committing health care fraud, have testified in this case, pointed the finger at Henry McInnis, Rodney Mesquias, Francisco Pena as being involved in this conspiracy. And what those two doctors did is they clarified for the jury and for this courtroom that this is not a medical opinion case. Dr. Carrillo and Dr. Virlar took the stand and they said, we were paid, and they were very clear, we were paid to fraudulently rubber-stamp hospice orders. We were paid to fraudulently rubber-stamp home health orders, that was our job, we were not legitimate doctors.

That cannot be the basis of a legitimate professional services contract. There is no fraud exception under the Anti-Kickback Statute.

So on their testimony -- testimony alone, the motion should be denied.

The two cases are readily distinguishable because it's not a medical opinion case. At virtually every level of this company that the evidence that has been elicited during this trial has shown every level has been fraudulent.

And I want to focus on these -- the Pena counts. The Court will recall that was Francisca Perez, she was a substantive count tied to Dr. Pena. There was evidence that Dr. Pena, Dr. Carrillo and Dr. Virlar all signed fraudulent and false orders for Ms. Perez. And we had the nursing home records for Ms. Perez which reflected that she did not have the terminal diagnosis that Dr. Pena put on his hospice order.

So for all those reasons, Your Honor, in addition to all the employees that took the stand and were quite clear, crystal clear that they acted at the direction of Rodney Mesquias and Henry McInnis, and that Dr. Pena was just another medical director in Laredo doing the same exact thing.

And the Court saw the evidence today, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
hundred patients down in Laredo tying Dr. Pena to this
conspiracy. It's overwhelming. The motion should be
decide.
            THE COURT: Mr. Guerra?
            MR. GUERRA: Yes, Your Honor, briefly.
            As the Court well knows and -- and given Mr.
Lowell's argument, the Court well knows Dr. Virlar and
Dr. Carrillo basically cited maybe one time they met
with Dr. Pena, and at no time did they even offer any
evidence that this was part of any scheme or any plan,
much less that Dr. Pena knew or was part of anything
that was going on, first of all.
            Second of all, with regards to those medical
records, again, they didn't show the ladies and
gentlemen of the jury anything that showed Dr. Pena's
involvement with fraudulent certification.
            Dr. Pena was outside of whatever Carrillo
and Virlar were doing, first of all. And second of all,
the Government needed to bring that evidence to light in
front of the jury, they didn't do it, we renew our
Motion for Acquittal on that count, Your Honor.
            MR. LOWELL: Your Honor, just a couple of --
couple of witnesses, Your Honor, just for the record.
Ernesto Gonzalez, Roland Aguilar, Jose was one of the
sources who testified, as well as Joe Garza, all
```

```
testified clearly that Dr. Pena, like Dr. Virlar, and
1
2
    like Dr. Carrillo, was being paid for patient referrals.
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you, gentlemen.
3
4
    right. Gentlemen.
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: The only thing I'd like
5
    to add, Your Honor, is just in the -- in the Wall case,
6
    there is a discussion in there that I'd like to call to
7
    the Court's attention about the role of statistical
8
9
    analysis in hospice cases in extrapolating from a subset
    to an entire patient population that I would really like
10
11
    to call the Court's attention to in -- in that -- I
12
    think it's extremely instructive about this last witness
13
    and for any potential future proceedings.
14
                Thank you.
15
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
    gentlemen. Respectfully gentlemen all three defense
16
    motions are denied. The case will continue on Monday.
17
18
                Anything else?
19
                MR. HECTOR CANALES: No, Your Honor.
                MR. GUERRA: No, Judge.
20
21
                THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess.
22
                (COURT IN RECESS.)
23
                THE COURT: Gentlemen, please come forward.
24
    Ms. Sandra has asked that I come back to the courtroom.
25
                What is the latest issue?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TONY CANALES: We have an issue, Judge. The Government in the past, the last two weekends, pursuant to the batting order agreement that we had, the Government at 5:00 on Sunday, each Sunday, they've been giving the list of witnesses. The Court yesterday when we talked, I misspoke, as to when I could provide the list of witnesses. They want it by today at 5:00. It's impossible for me to do it today at 5:00. I would like to be able to apply what's good for the goose is good for the gander. They allowed -- they didn't give me their instructions, their list of witnesses until Sunday. I'll be more than glad to do it by Sunday. I don't have it today by 5:00 because what has happened, Judge, is that the Government has been going around talking to our witnesses, and when they've been talking to witnesses from the last two weeks, some of the witnesses now are getting a little bit shaky about coming in. So I'm having trouble logistically bringing my witnesses. I will be more than glad to give them the list of witnesses that we plan to call by Sunday at 5:00, the same thing that they gave us, Sunday at 5:00. I just cannot do it today by 5:00. I'll -- I can come up with a bunch of names,

```
1
    but that's not -- they're not -- they're not going to be
2
    realistic names because I'm having trouble with them.
                I've got to get them committed to be here.
3
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lowell?
 4
                MR. LOWELL: Your Honor, just as an initial
5
    matter, Mr. Canales went on the record just yesterday
6
7
    and agreed to provide us with the updated list.
8
                On Mr. Mesquias' list alone, there are 25
9
    witnesses.
                They've represented that their case will
10
    take two to three days.
11
                We're not trying to waste this Court's time,
12
    we do not want to waste the jury's time, I would just
13
    suggest that Mr. Canales give us an updated list
14
    consistent with what he represented in Court of who he
15
    expects to testify in this case over those two to three
16
    days.
                THE COURT: Gentlemen, again, I don't
17
    believe this is an exact science, to be quite frank,
18
19
    again, and I don't know what lists or updates were given
20
    between the parties. I did instruct you -- each party
21
    to exercise due diligence.
22
                For example, obviously, all can I say is,
23
    for example, the Government gave me a list initially of
24
    44 witnesses, obviously, we didn't call 44 witnesses.
25
                So back to the point. If you need to -- the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
lists are estimates, is an estimate, obviously, I'm not
telling you how to do your job, but I mean, if you need
to overestimate and cut down, obviously, that's a better
practice than -- than underestimating.
            MR. TONY CANALES: Well, I could do that,
but, you know, I could do that, Judge. All I want to
have is the same -- same rule that I applied to him on
Sunday.
            And -- and by the way, on all day Sunday, a
couple of times on Sunday, last Sunday we requested
of -- of the Government the list of witnesses, and they
didn't give it to us until 7:00 on a Sunday. The
previous Sunday the same thing.
            I would -- I'll give them the names that
I've got because I'm having trouble.
            Why am I having trouble? Because, you know,
logistics. So I'll give them the names, but I don't
want to do an over-inflated list of people that, you
know, that I'm not going to call, it's got to be a good
faith basis for it.
            THE COURT: So Mr. Lowell, Mr. Canales has
already just stated that he doesn't believe he can give
you an accurate list by today. Is it correct that the
Government's practice was to provide the Government's
updated list on Sunday at what time?
```

```
MR. LOWELL: Your Honor, what we would do is
1
    we gave -- we had an initial list of Government
2
3
    witnesses and then every evening we would send the
    specific witnesses that we intended to call the
4
5
    following day. So it may have been three or four
6
    witnesses we would e-mail to all counsel, sometimes it
7
    varied each night when we'd get it to them, we made
8
    substantial cuts as we've gone along as the Court has
9
    noticed, but we did a daily update with the exception of
10
    Saturdays of the witnesses that we intended to call the
11
    following day.
12
                THE COURT: All right.
                MR. TONY CANALES: But when we left on a
13
14
    Friday, I didn't even get any e-mail about the witnesses
15
    for Monday, I didn't get the list until --
16
                THE COURT: All right. Okay. If -- if --
17
                MR. TONY CANALES: I have the e-mail right
18
           My faithful assistant has got the e-mail.
    here.
19
                THE COURT: So you're requesting to
20
    update --
2.1
                MR. TONY CANALES: On Friday?
22
                THE COURT: -- on Sunday.
23
                MR. TONY CANALES:
                                    I'm sorry, on Sunday.
24
                THE COURT: On Sunday at what time?
25
                MR. TONY CANALES:
                                    7:00?
                                          Same time they
```

```
1
    gave it to me.
2
                THE COURT: Was it 7:00 or 5:00? I've heard
    two different times.
3
                MR. TONY CANALES: Don't be greedy Canales.
4
    I'll take the 5:00, okay, I'll take the 5:00.
5
                THE COURT: You've already given them your
6
7
    initial list, obviously.
                MR. TONY CANALES: Sure, sure we did.
8
9
                THE COURT: All right. The Court will grant
    your list to update by 5:00 on Sunday.
10
11
                MR. TONY CANALES: On Sunday.
12
                THE COURT: All right.
13
                MR. TONY CANALES: Thank you, Your Honor,
14
    that's it.
15
                THE COURT: How many did you have on your
16
    initial list?
17
                MR. TONY CANALES: Oh gosh.
18
                MR. LOWELL: 25, approximately.
                                    25. It's going to be a
19
                MR. TONY CANALES:
    lot less.
20
21
                THE COURT: All right. So again, each
22
    party, I don't see Mr. Cyganiewicz, but instruct him.
23
    Each party is instructed to attempt to give at least
24
    prior notice the day -- the night before the witnesses
25
    are called -- needed -- they intend to call the
```

```
1
    following day.
2
                MR. TONY CANALES: Yes, Your Honor.
3
                MR. GUERRA: Yes. We understand Dr. Pena,
    we've been working with the Government when it's our
4
5
    turn.
6
                THE COURT: All right, gentlemen.
7
                Anything else?
                MR. TONY CANALES: No, thank you.
8
9
                MR. LOWELL: No, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: All right. Have a nice weekend
10
11
    everyone.
12
                MR. LOWELL: Thank you.
13
14
                      REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
15
16
       I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
17
    from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled
18
    matter.
19
20
                            Is/Sheila E. Perales.
21
                          SHEILA E. HEINZ-PERALES CSR RPR CRR
22
                          Exp. Date: January 31, 2021
23
24
25
```